	Case 4:08-cv-00096-CW Do	cument 20	Filed 04/30/2008	Page 1 of 3	
1	MELODY A VDAMED CDA	J 160004			
	MELODY A. KRAMER, SBN 169984 KRAMER LAW OFFICE, INC.				
2	9930 Mesa Rim Road, Suite 1600				
3	San Diego, California 92121 Telephone (858) 362-3150				
4	Telephone (858) 362-3150 mak@kramerlawip.com				
5	•				
6	J. MICHAEL KALER, SBN 158296 KALER LAW OFFICES				
7	9930 Mesa Rim Road, Suite 200				
8	San Diego, California 92121				
9	Telephone (858) 362-3151 michael@kalerlaw.com				
10					
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff JENS E as Trustee of SORENSEN RE	ERIK SOREN ESEARCH A	NSEN, ND		
	DEVELOPMENT TRUST				
12					
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15	OAKLAND DIVISION				
16					
17	JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as T		Case No. C08-00	00096 CW (WDB)	
18	SORENSEN RESEARCH AN DEVELOPMENT TRUST,	D)	DECLARATIO	N OF MELODY A.	
19	·)	KRAMER IN S	UPPORT OF	
20	Plain	tiff)	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT A		
21	V.)		AMPRO TOOLS	
22	AMPRO TOOLS CORPORA		CORPORATIO	N	
23	California Corporation; and DO 100,	DES 1 –)	Date: June 18, 20)O8	
	100,)	Time: 1:30 p.m.	000	
24	Defe	ndants.	Magistrate Judge		
25)	Courtroom 4, 3 rd 1301 Clay Street,		
26)	Oakland, CA 946		
27)			
28)) 		

I, MELODY A. KRAMER, declare:

- 1. I am not a party to the present action. I am over the age of eighteen. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained within the following paragraphs, and could and would competently testify thereto if called as a witness in a court of law.
- 2. At all times relevant herein I have been an attorney for Defendant Sorensen Research and Development Trust ("SRDT"), Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
- 3. This Declaration is being submitted in conjunction with Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment Against Defendant AmPro Tools Corporation.
- 4. According to Court records, Defendant AmPro Tools Corporation ("AmPro") has failed to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this case.
- 5. This failure is consistent with representations and admissions made by Washington state attorney Bruce Kaser, who has represented himself both before and after filing this case as counsel for AmPro.
- 6. On March 20, 2008, I received a phone call from Mr. Kaser acknowledging that AmPro had received the summons and complaint, and also acknowledged knowing that I had filed a request for entry of default. Default had not yet been entered as of that date.
- 7. Mr. Kaser asked me to withdraw our request for default, but did not make any representation if or when AmPro would file an Answer. I advised him that if AmPro filed an Answer, our request for default would be mooted.
- 8. Mr. Kaser and I exchanged emails regarding this case between March 20th and 24th. In my last email, I reminded Mr. Kaser that "[t]the proper way for a party to indicate that it intends to defend a lawsuit is by filing an answer."
- 9. Since March 24, 2008, I have heard nothing further from Mr. Kaser or AmPro by phone, email, or otherwise. No answer or other pleading has been filed with the Court by AmPro to date.

1	SWORN TO under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California
2	and the United States, this 30 th day of April, 2008.
3	
4	/s/ Melody A. Kramer
5	
6	Melody A. Kramer, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff
7	mak@kramerlawip.com
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Case No. C 08-00096 CW (WDB)